

Courant Mathematics and
Computing Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

Hyperbolic Systems of Conservation Laws in Several Space Variables

P. D. Lax

Research and Development Report

Supported by the Applied Mathematical Sciences
subprogram of the Office of Energy Research,
U.S. Department of Energy under
Contract DE-AC02-76ER03077

Mathematics and Computers

May 1985



NEW YORK UNIVERSITY

DOE/ER-03077-246
C.2
LAX

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Printed in U.S.A.

Available from
National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE/ER/03077-246

UC-32

Mathematics and Computers

Courant Mathematics and Computing Laboratory

New York University

HYPERBOLIC SYSTEMS OF CONSERVATION LAWS
IN SEVERAL SPACE VARIABLES

P. D. Lax

May 1985

Supported by the Applied Mathematical Sciences
subprogram of the Office of Energy Research,
U. S. Department of Energy under Contract No.
DE-AC02-76ER03077

UNCLASSIFIED

CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	1
1. Symmetric Hyperbolic Systems of Conservation Laws	2
2. Linear Degenerations of Quasilinear Equations	6
Acknowledgements	15
Bibliography	15

Hyperbolic Systems of Conservation Laws in Several Space Variables

Peter D. Lax

Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University

Introduction

In Section 1 we show how to associate to each analytic function f of one variable a complex conservation law. When written in terms of real variables, this equation turns into a symmetric hyperbolic system of two first order conservation laws in time and two space variables for two unknowns. The hope is that the theory of analytic functions can be exploited to study the properties of the solutions of these model equations.

These systems are strictly hyperbolic where $f' \neq 0$, and nonlinear where $f'' \neq 0$. There is, however, in every state at least one direction of propagation which is not genuinely nonlinear.

In Section 2 we show that every 2×2 quasilinear first order hyperbolic system fails to be genuinely nonlinear in some direction of propagation.

The notions of the first section are easily extended to analytic functions of several variables.

This paper is dedicated to Sigeru Mizohata, in recognition of his deep contributions to our understanding of the theory of partial differential equations.

1. Symmetric Hyperbolic Systems of Conservation Laws.

$f = f(U)$ denotes an analytic function of a single complex variable U . We impose on the complex valued function $U(z,t)$ of the complex variable z and the real variable t the following nonlinear partial differential equation:

$$(1.1) \quad \partial_t \bar{U} + \partial_z f(U) = 0 .$$

Here, as customary, ∂_z denotes

$$(1.2) \quad \partial_z = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_x - i \partial_y), \quad z = x + iy$$

and the bar denotes the complex conjugate. We can express this equation in terms of the real and imaginary parts of U and f_U :

$$(1.3) \quad U = u + iv, \quad \frac{1}{2} f_U = a + ib .$$

Setting these into (1.1) gives

$$(1.4) \quad u_t - iv_t + (a+ib)(u_x+iv_x-iu_y+iv_y) = 0 ,$$

The matrix form of this equation is

$$(1.4)' \quad \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}_t + A \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}_x + B \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}_y = 0$$

where

$$(1.5) \quad A = \begin{vmatrix} a & -b \\ -b & -a \end{vmatrix} , \quad B = \begin{vmatrix} b & a \\ a & -b \end{vmatrix}$$

Since A and B are symmetric matrices, (1.4)' is a symmetric hyperbolic system.

The characteristics of this system are the eigenvalues of $\xi A + \eta B$; these are

$$(1.6) \quad \pm \sqrt{a^2+b^2} \quad \text{for} \quad \xi^2+\eta^2 = 1 .$$

Thus the speed with which signals propagate from a point is $\sqrt{a^2+b^2}$ in all directions.

It was observed in [3], see also [5] and [2], that a symmetric system of conservation laws satisfies an additional conservation law. This can be derived from the complex form (1.1) of the equation by multiplying it by U :

$$(1.7) \quad U\overline{U}_t + Uf_U U_z = 0 .$$

Denote by h the analytic function, determined up to a constant, whose derivative is f :

$$(1.8) \quad h_U = f$$

Then

$$(1.8)' \quad Uf_U = (Uf - h)_U = k_U .$$

Equation (1.7) can be rewritten as

$$(1.8)'' \quad \overline{U}U_t + k_z = 0 .$$

Add the complex conjugate of (1.8) to (1.8''):

$$(1.9) \quad \partial_t |U|^2 + k_z + \overline{k_y} = 0 .$$

$|U|^2$ is a convex function of U ; as explained in [5], it plays the role of an entropy for equation (1.1), in the following sense: if U is a strong limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ of solutions $U^{(\epsilon)}$ of the viscous equations

$$(1.10) \quad \overline{U}_t^{(\varepsilon)} + f(U^{(\varepsilon)})_z = \varepsilon \Delta \overline{U}^{(\varepsilon)}.$$

then U satisfies the entropy inequality

$$(1.11) \quad \partial_t |U|^2 + k_z + \overline{k_z} \leq 0.$$

At a point of discontinuity of U , called a shock, the strict inequality holds in (1.11).

We note that every antianalytic function of U is a time-independent solution of (1.1).

We show now how to associate to each analytic function h of several complex variables a symmetric system of conservation laws.

Let $h(U^1, \dots, U^n)$ be an analytic function; denote its partial complex derivatives by

$$(1.12) \quad f^j = h_{U^j}.$$

The system of n complex conservation laws

$$(1.13) \quad \overline{U}_t^j + f_z^j = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

when written in terms of the real and imaginary parts of U^j , is a symmetric system of conservation laws; this is easy to verify

directly, but it is still easier to verify an equivalent fact, that $\sum |U^j|^2$ satisfies an additional conservation law. To see this multiply the j^{th} equation (1.13) by U^j and sum over j :

$$(1.14) \quad \sum U^j \overline{U_t^j} + \sum U^j f_z^j = 0$$

Using (1.12) we see that the second term can be written as

$$(1.15) \quad k_z = (\sum U^j f_z^j - h)_z .$$

Taking the real part of (1.14) and using (1.15) gives

$$(1.16) \quad \partial_t \sum |U^j|^2 + k_z + \overline{k_z} = 0$$

Equation (1.16) holds for all smooth solutions of (1.13); for discontinuous solutions the corresponding inequality

$$(1.16) \quad \partial_t \sum |U^j|^2 + k_z + \overline{k_z} = 0$$

serves as entropy condition.

2. Linear Degenerations of Quasilinear Equations

A first order system in t and one space variable,

$$(2.1) \quad u_t + Au_x = 0 ,$$

u a vector function with values in \mathbb{R}^n , A an $n \times n$ real matrix, is called strictly hyperbolic if the matrix A has n real and distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. When (2.1) is quasilinear, i.e. when A depends on u , so do the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ and the corresponding right eigenvectors r_1, \dots, r_n :

$$(2.2) \quad Ar = \lambda r .$$

The system (2.1) is called genuinely nonlinear if for each eigenvalue $\lambda_i = \lambda$, the gradient of λ satisfies for all u the inequality

$$(2.3) \quad \lambda_u \cdot r \neq 0 ,$$

where r is the corresponding right eigenvector. Note that (2.3) is independent of the normalization r .

For $n = 1$, (2.1) is a scalar equation:

$$u_t + a u_x = 0 ,$$

$a = a(u) = A(u)$. In this case there is a single eigenvalue, a itself, and condition (2.3) requires that

$$(2.3)' \quad a_u \neq 0 ,$$

clearly the condition of genuine nonlinearity.

The significance of genuine nonlinearity for the theory of hyperbolic equations and the formation of singularities is explained in any treatise on the subject such as [6] or [8].

We turn now to first order systems in two space variables:

$$(2.4) \quad u_t + Au_x + Bu_y = 0 ,$$

u an \mathbb{R}^n valued function of x, y, t , A and B real $n \times n$ matrices.

Equation (2.4) has solutions u that propagate in the direction (ξ, η) , i.e. are of the form

$$(2.5) \quad u(x, y, t) = w(\xi x + \eta y, t)$$

Such a u satisfies (2.4) if $w(s, t)$ satisfies

$$(2.6) \quad w_t + C w_s = 0 ,$$

where

$$(2.7) \quad C = \xi A + \eta B .$$

Equations (2.4) is called strictly hyperbolic if equation (2.6) characterizing those solutions that propagate in the direction (ξ, η) is strictly hyperbolic for every choice of the

direction. That means that the matrix C has to have real and distinct eigenvalues for all real choices of ξ, η , $\xi^2 + \eta^2 > 0$.

Suppose equation (2.4) is quasilinear, i.e., that A and B are functions of u . We call (2.4) genuinely nonlinear if the equations (2.6) for unidirectional waves are genuinely nonlinear for every choice of the direction.

Take a scalar equation

$$u_t + au_x + bu_y = 0 ,$$

a, b functions of u ; equation (2.6) is

$$\begin{aligned} w_t + c w_s &= 0 , \\ c &= \xi a + \eta b . \end{aligned}$$

Condition (2.3)' becomes

$$\xi a_u + \eta b_u \neq 0 ,$$

clearly violated by suitable choice of (ξ, η) . Thus a scalar quasilinear equation in two space variables is never genuinely nonlinear in all directions.

We turn now to the 2×2 system (1.4)'. We write

$$a + ib = qe^{i\phi}$$

i.e.

$$a = q \cos \phi, \quad b = q \sin \phi,$$

so that the matrices A, B in (1.5) can be written as

$$A = q \begin{vmatrix} \cos \phi, & -\sin \phi \\ -\sin \phi, & -\cos \phi \end{vmatrix}, \quad B = q \begin{vmatrix} \sin \phi, & \cos \phi \\ \cos \phi, & -\sin \phi \end{vmatrix}$$

Setting

$$\xi = \cos \theta, \quad \eta = \sin \theta$$

we get for C given by (2.7)

$$D = q \begin{vmatrix} \cos (\theta-\phi) & \sin(\theta-\phi) \\ \sin (\theta-\phi) & -\cos(\theta-\phi) \end{vmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues of C are $\pm q$; a brief calculation shows that the right eigenvectors are

$$(2.8) \quad r_+ = \begin{vmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta-\phi}{2} \\ \sin \frac{\theta-\phi}{2} \end{vmatrix}, \quad r_- = \begin{vmatrix} \sin \frac{\theta-\phi}{2} \\ -\cos \frac{\theta-\phi}{2} \end{vmatrix}$$

Condition (2.3) in this case is

$$(2.9) \quad q_u \cdot r_{\pm} \neq 0 .$$

We claim that this cannot hold for all θ . For q , and therefore q_u is independent of θ ; on the other hand formulas (2.8) show that as θ goes from 0 to 2π , the vectors r_+ and r_- turn over an angle of π ; therefore there are values θ_{\pm} when $r_{\pm}(\theta_{\pm})$ are orthogonal to g_u . For these values of θ condition (2.9) is violated.

Andy Majda raised the question if there genuinely are nonlinear 2×2 systems in two space dimensions. It turns out that indeed there aren't any:

Theorem. Every real, strictly hyperbolic quasilinear system (2.4) for two unknowns of two space variables is linearly degenerate in some direction.

Proof: Define

$$(2.10) \quad C(\theta) = \cos \theta A + \sin \theta B .$$

Denote the eigenvalues of $C(\theta)$ by $\lambda_{\pm}(\theta)$, labeled so that

$$(2.11) \quad \lambda_{-}(\theta) < \lambda_{+}(\theta) ;$$

the corresponding right eigenvectors are denoted by $r_+(\theta)$. We choose them to be real and of unit length:

$$(2.12) \quad |r_{\pm}(\theta)| = 1 ,$$

in any old norm. This still leaves an arbitrary factor ± 1 , which we fix arbitrarily at $\theta = 0$, and for all other θ in $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ by requiring $r_{\pm}(\theta)$ to vary continuously with θ .

The matrix $C(\theta)$ defined by (2.10) satisfies

$$(2.13) \quad C(\theta + \pi) = - C(\theta) .$$

It follows from (2.13) and (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_+(\theta + \pi) &= - \lambda_-(\theta) \\ \lambda_-(\theta + \pi) &= - \lambda_+(\theta) . \end{aligned}$$

It follows from this and (2.12) that

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} r_+(\theta + \pi) &= \sigma_+ r_-(\theta) \\ r_-(\theta + \pi) &= \sigma_- r_+(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

where σ_+ and σ_- are ± 1 . Since $r_{\pm}(\theta)$ were chosen to be continuous functions of θ , σ_{\pm} also are continuous functions; since their value is ± 1 , they are constant.

Since $r_{\pm}(\theta)$ vary continuously, the orientation of the ordered base

$$\{r_{-}(\theta), r_{+}(\theta)\}$$

does not change; in particular

$$\{r_{-}(0), r_{+}(0)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{r_{-}(\pi), r_{+}(\pi)\}$$

have the same orientation. By (2.14)

$$\{r_{-}(0), r_{+}(0)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\sigma_{-}r_{+}(0), \sigma_{+}r_{-}(0)\}$$

have the same orientation; this proves that

$$(2.15) \quad \sigma_{+}\sigma_{-} = -1 .$$

Setting $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$ we obtain

$$r_{+}(2\pi) = \sigma_{+}r_{-}(\pi) = \sigma_{+}\sigma_{-}r_{+}(0) ;$$

so by (2.15)

$$(2.16)_{+} \quad r_{+}(2\pi) = -r_{+}(0)$$

and similarly

$$(2.16) \quad r_-(2\pi) = -r_-(0) .$$

The eigenvalues $\lambda_{\pm}(u, \theta)$ are periodic functions of θ with period 2π ; therefore so is their gradient; this and (2.16) shows that

$$(2.17) \quad \lambda_u(2\pi) \cdot r(2\pi) = -\lambda_u(0) \cdot r(0)$$

for both eigenvalue-vector pairs. Since

$$(2.18) \quad \lambda_u(\theta) \cdot r(\theta)$$

varies continuously with θ , it follows from (2.17) that (2.18) vanishes for some θ . By (2.3) the system (2.4) fails to be genuinely nonlinear in the direction $(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$. \bullet

The argument presented above shows this

Corollary. Let (2.4) be a $2n \times 2n$ real, quasilinear, strictly hyperbolic system in two space variables, n odd. Then (2.4) fails to be genuinely nonlinear in some direction.

The topological argument used in the above proof is taken from [7], where it is used to prove that there are no strictly hyperbolic systems of $2n$ equations for $2n$ unknowns in three space

variables for n odd. The interesting question of the existence of $m \times m$ strictly hyperbolic systems in three variables is handled for arbitrary m in the interesting paper [1].

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC02-76-ER03077.

Bibliography

1. Friedlands, S., Robbin, J. W. and Sylvester, J., "On the crossing rule," CPAM, Vol. XXXVII, p 19-38, 1984.
2. Friedrichs, K. O. and Lax, P. D., "Systems of conservation laws with a convex extension," Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., p 1686-1688, 1971, vol 68.
3. Godunov, S., "An interesting class of quasilinear systems," Dok. Akad. Nauk, SSSR, 193, p 521-523. English transl. in Sov. Math. 2, p 947-949.
4. Hopf, E., "The partial differential equation $u_t + uu_x = uu_{xx}$," CPAM 3, p 201-230, 1950.
5. Lax, P. D., "Shock waves and entropy," Contributions to Nonlinear Functional Analysis, ed. E. Zarantonello, Academic Press, New York, 1971, p 603-634.
6. Lax, P. D., "Hyperbolic systems of conservation laws and the mathematical theory of shock waves," Conf. Board Math. Sci. 11, SIAM, 1973.
7. Lax, P.D., "The multiplicity of eigenvalues," AMS Bull. 6, p 213-214, 1982.
8. Smoller, J., "Shock Waves and Reaction-Diffusion Equations," Grundlehren der Math. Win., 258 Springer-Verlag, 1980.

NYU

C.

DOE/ER

03077-246

Lax

Hyperbolic systems of conf...

This book may be kept

FOURTEEN DAYS

A fine will be charged for each day the book is kept overtime.

[illegible]

25 101 HAV
25 101 HAV
25 101 HAV

